Organic Synthesis Utilizing Beckmann Fragmentation: New Carbon–Carbon Bond Formation by the Reaction of α -Alkoxycycloalkanone Oxime Acetates with Organoaluminium Reagents

Hiromichi Fujioka,* Takeshi Yamanaka, Kazuhiro Takuma, Makoto Miyazaki and Yasuyuki Kita* Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Osaka University, 1–6 Yamada-oka, Suita, Osaka 565, Japan

The reaction of α -alkoxycycloalkanone oxime acetates 1 with organoaluminium reagents caused Beckmann fragmentation and subsequent carbon–carbon bond formation to give various types of ω -cyano- α -alkyl (or alkynyl)ethers 2 in high yields.

The Beckmann fragmentation is a useful reaction to convert oximes to $\omega\text{-nitrile}$ compounds. Recently, we reported Beckmann fragmentation followed by carbon–carbon bond formation of $\alpha\text{-alkoxycycloalkanone}$ oximes using siliconcontaining carbon nucleophiles. Although this reaction proved to be useful in giving various types of functionalized $\omega\text{-nitrile}$ compounds, the carbon units introduced are somewhat limited and did not include alkyl or alkynyl carbon units. We show here that the combination of oxime acetates 1 and organoaluminium reagents overcomes this limitation affording the $\omega\text{-nitrile}$ compounds 2 having alkyl or alkynyl carbon units and that the presence of a Lewis acid dramatically increases the yield.

Initially, we examined the reaction of oxime acetate 1a,†

oxime $3\ddagger$ or oxime benzyl ether $4\ddagger$ with trimethylaluminium in dichloromethane. As a result, the ω -nitrile compound 2a was obtained in 54% yield from 1a (see Table 1, entry 1), whereas unidentified products (no nitrile functionality from IR spectra) from 3 and acetal 5 from 4 were obtained. Therefore,

MeO NOX MeO
$$(CH_2)_4CN$$

1a X = Ac 5

3 X = H

4 X = PhCH₂

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ Prepared by acetylation (Ac₂O-pyridine) of oxime 3 in quantitative yield.

 $[\]ddagger$ Prepared from the corresponding ketone in the usual way (3: NH₂OH·HCl, NaOH, MgSO₄, MeOH; 4: NH₂OBn·HCl, NaOH, MgSO₄, MeOH) and used without further purification.

Table 1 Reaction of α-alkoxyketoxime acetate 1 with organoaluminium reagents^a

NOAc
$$\frac{R^1-AIR^2_2}{n}$$
 $\left[\begin{array}{c} RO \\ +-AIR^2_2 \\ OAc \\ -RO \\ OAc \\ -RO \\ OAc \\ -RO \\ -RO$

| Entr | y Oxime acetate 1 | Reagent | Product 2 | Yield (%) ^b |
|------|--|--|--|------------------------|
| 1 | a $n = 2, R = Me$ | Me ₃ Al | a R ¹ = Me | 54 |
| 2 | b $n = 2, R = PhCH_2$ | | $\mathbf{b} \mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{e}$ | 79 |
| 3 | $c n = 2, R = SiMe_2Bu$ | l ^t | $\mathbf{c} \mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{e}$ | 42 |
| 4 | d $n = 1, R = Me$ | | $\mathbf{d} \mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{e}$ | 62 |
| 5 | $\mathbf{e} \ n = 1, \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{PhCH}_2$ | | $e R^1 = Me$ | 92 |
| 6 | f n = 4, R = Me | | $\mathbf{f} \mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{e}$ | 61 |
| 7 | $\mathbf{g} \ n = 8, \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{Me}$ | | $\mathbf{g} \mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{M}\mathbf{e}$ | 72 |
| 8 | h | Me ₃ Al | ĥ | 61 |
| 9 | b | Et ₃ Al | $i R^1 = Et$ | 48 |
| 10 | b | Bu ⁱ 3Al | $\mathbf{i} \mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u}^i$ | 52 |
| 11 | h | Et ₃ Al | $\mathbf{k} \mathbf{R}^1 = \mathbf{E}\mathbf{t}$ | 55 |
| 12 | h | Bu ⁱ ₃ Al | $R^1 = Bu^i$ | 56 |
| 13 | b | Bu ⁿ C≡CAlEt ₂ c | | |
| 14 | b | $PhC \equiv CAlEt_2^c$ | $\mathbf{n} \mathbf{R}^{1} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{h} - \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C} - \mathbf{C}$ | 72 |

^a Oxime acetate 1 (0.1 mmol) and organoaluminium reagent (1.5–2 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ (1 ml) were stirred for 4 h at 0°C and then stirred at room temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere. After completion of the reaction (TLC check), the resulting mixture was quenched by NaF (5 equiv.) at 0°C. The mixture was dissolved in water and extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated. The residue was purified by SiO₂ column chromatography to afford 2. ^b Yield of the isolated product and not optimized. ^c Prepared by treatment of the corresponding acetylenes with BuⁿLi followed by Et₂AlCl.

Table 2 Reaction of 1a with organoal uminium reagents in the presence of Lewis acid^a

| Entry | RAlEt ₂ | Lewis acid | Product | Yield (%) ^b |
|-------|-------------------------|---|---------|------------------------|
| 1 | Et ₃ Al | none | 20 | 20 |
| 2 | - | ZnCl ₂ | 20 | 35 |
| 3 | | CF ₃ SO ₃ SiMe ₃ | 20 | 47 |
| 4 | $Bu^n-C\equiv C-AlEt_2$ | none | 2p | trace |
| 5 | _ | $ZnCl_2$ | 2p | 67 |
| 6 | | CF ₃ SO ₃ SiMe ₃ | 2p | 39 |
| 7 | $Ph-C\equiv C-AlEt_2$ | none | 2q | trace |
| 8 | _ | $ZnCl_2$ | 2q | 91 |
| 9 | | CF ₃ SO ₃ SiMe ₃ | 2q | 62 |

 a 1a (0.1 mmol) and Lewis acid (2 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ (1 ml) were stirred for 10 min at 0 °C and then organoaluminium reagent (3 equiv.) was added to the resulting mixture. The following procedure was performed in the same way as shown below Table 1. b Yield of the isolated product and not optimized.

various oxime acetates 1a-h were treated with organoaluminium reagents and the results are summarized in Table 1. The detailed study was performed by use of Me₃Al (entries 1–8), of which several aspects are noteworthy. First, methyl, benzyl and even silyl ethers are available (entries 1–3). Secondly, the reaction works for medium to large ring systems (entries 6 and 7). Thirdly, even in tertiary alkoxy systems the desired product was obtained (entry 8). Other trialkylaluminium reagents (Et₃Al, Buⁱ₃Al) and alkynyldiethylaluminium reagents similarly reacted with oxime acetates (**1b** and **h**) to give the corresponding ω -nitrile compounds (**2i-n**) (entries 9–14).

Although the reaction of α -methoxy oxime acetate 1a did not give a satisfactory result (trace-20% yield), the addition of a Lewis acid improved the yield. A dramatic effect was observed in the reactions of alkynyldiethylaluminium reagents. The results are summarized in Table 2.

In conclusion, the methodology presented here opens a new route to various types of functionalized ω -nitriles and suggests the possibility of using other organometallic reagents as carbon nucleophiles.

Received, 31st December 1990; Com. 0/05827K

References

- 1 For reviews, see: L. G. Donaruma and W. Z. Heldt, Org. React., 1960, 11, 1; M. Ohno, Kagaku No Ryoiki, 1968, 22, 30; C. A. Grob and P. W. Schiess, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1967, 6, 1; C. A. Grob, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1969, 8, 535; C. G. McCarty, in The Chemistry of the Carbon-Nitrogen Double Bond, ed. S. Patai, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1970, pp. 416–439; R. E. Gawley, Org. React., 1986, 35, 1.
- 2 H. Fujioka, M. Miyazaki, T. Yamanaka, H. Yamamoto and Y. Kita, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1990, **31**, 5951.
- 3 The success of the Beckmann rearrangement using organoaluminium reagents prompted us to use them as the reagent of choice. K. Maruoka, T. Miyazaki, M. Ando, Y. Matsumura, S. Sakane, K. Hattori and H. Yamamoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1983, 105, 2831